

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 KATIE CHAPMAN-PINTO,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 AMAZON.COM SERVICES, LLC

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01458-APG-NJK

**Order Rejecting Proposed Joint Pre-Trial
Order**

[ECF No. 40]

8 The parties' proposed Joint Pretrial Order (ECF No. 40) does not comply with Local Rule
9 16-3(b)(8)(B). The parties attempt to "reserve objections regarding [each other's] exhibits for
10 trial." ECF No. 40 at 10. Local Rule 16-3(b)(8)(B) expressly requires the parties to "[l]ist those
11 exhibits to which objection is made and state the grounds for the objection. Stipulations on
12 admissibility, authenticity, and/or identification of documents should be made whenever
13 possible." The requirements in Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4 are designed to streamline trial
14 preparation and presentation, and to foster settlement. If the parties simply wait to make trial
15 decisions until the eve of trial, they cannot fully participate in settlement discussions.

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that the parties' proposed Joint Pretrial Order (**ECF No. 40**) is
17 **REJECTED**. The parties shall confer about their objections to exhibits and submit a new Joint
18 Pretrial Order by March 28, 2025.

19 DATED this 3rd day of March, 2025.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23